

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUL 18 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: KURT BENSHOOF.

KURT BENSHOOF,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF
WASHINGTON, SEATTLE,

Respondent,

SEATTLE SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1; et
al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 24-3765

D.C. Nos.

2:23-cv-01829-JNW

2:24-cv-00382-JNW

2:24-cv-00808-TLF

Western District of Washington,
Seattle

ORDER

Before: BADE, LEE, and FORREST, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated a clear and indisputable right to the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See In re Mersho*, 6 F.4th 891, 897 (9th Cir. 2021) (“To determine whether a writ of mandamus should be granted, we weigh the five factors outlined in *Bauman v. United States District Court.*”); *Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition for a writ of mandamus is denied.

Petitioner’s motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Docket Entry No. 4) is

denied as moot.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

DENIED.